UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,363	06/02/2005	Wiebe De Boer	US02 0527 US	3423
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 02/17/200	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY	LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA	95131	1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/537,363	DE BOER, WIEBE	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Jeffrie R. Lund	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			04400
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,	
(c) $igotimes$ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.112	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (I	OTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphant Amenament (1	1 OL-324).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an ex	kplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10.		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application ir	າ condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Jeffrie R. Lund/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 1792	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regard to the arguments directed to the susceptor, the Examiner agrees that space 524 is not a susceptor. However, the figures clearly show that the the wafer is supported. Therefore, Johnsgard et al meets the limitation. Likewise, the optical pyrometer of Johnsgard et al contains fiters to enable a specific frequency to be observed, and the output from the pyrometer is an electrical signal indicating the temperature of the substrate. At steady state, the control system maintains the electrical signal constant. Thus, Johnsgard et al teaches all of the claimed limitations.